Theoretical Statistics. Lecture 7.

Peter Bartlett

- 1. Projections.
- 2. Conditional expectations as projections.
- 3. Hájek projections.
- 4. Asymptotic normality of U-statistics. Examples.

Review. U-statistics

Definition: A U-statistic of order r with kernel h is

$$U = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{r}} \sum_{i \subseteq [n]} h(X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_r}),$$

where h is symmetric in its arguments.

Review. Variance of U-statistics

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Var}(U) &= \frac{1}{\binom{n}{r}} \sum_{c=1}^{r} \binom{r}{c} \binom{n-r}{r-c} \zeta_c \\ &= \sum_{c=1}^{r} \theta(n^{-c}) \zeta_c, \\ \zeta_c &= \operatorname{Cov}(h(X_S), h(X_{S'})) \qquad \text{where } |S \cap S'| = c \\ &= \operatorname{Var}\left(\mathbf{E} \left[h(X_1^r) | X_1^c\right]\right). \end{aligned}$$

So if $\zeta_1 \neq 0$, the first term dominates:

$$n \operatorname{Var}(U) \to \frac{nr!(n-r)!r(n-r)!}{n!(r-1)!(n-2r+1)!} \zeta_1 \to r^2 \zeta_1.$$

Review. Asymptotic distribution of U-statistics

Theorem:

$$X_n \rightsquigarrow X \text{ and } d(X_n, Y_n) \xrightarrow{P} 0 \Longrightarrow Y_n \rightsquigarrow X.$$

Review. Projection Theorem

Consider a random variable T and a linear space S of random variables, with $\mathbf{E}S^2 < \infty$ for all $S \in S$ and $\mathbf{E}T^2 < \infty$. A **projection** \hat{S} of T on S is a minimizer over S of $\mathbf{E}(T-S)^2$.

Theorem: \hat{S} is a **projection** of T on S iff $\hat{S} \in S$ and, for all $S \in S$, the error $T - \hat{S}$ is orthogonal to S, that is,

$$\mathbf{E}(T-\hat{S})S=0.$$

If \hat{S}_1 and \hat{S}_2 are projections of T onto S, then $\hat{S}_1 = \hat{S}_2$ a.s.

Review. Projections and Asymptotics

Consider S_n a sequence of linear spaces of random variables that contain the constants and that have finite second moments.

Theorem: For
$$T_n$$
 with projections \hat{S}_n on S_n ,
 $\frac{\operatorname{Var}(T_n)}{\operatorname{Var}(\hat{S}_n)} \to 1 \implies \frac{T_n - \mathbf{E}T_n}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(T_n)}} - \frac{\hat{S}_n - \mathbf{E}\hat{S}_n}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(\hat{S}_n)}} \stackrel{P}{\to} 0.$

Linear Spaces

What linear spaces should we project onto? We need a rich space, since we have to lose nothing asymptotically when we project.

We'll consider the space of functions of a single random variable. Then projection corresponds to computing conditional expectations.

```
Just as \mathbf{E}X = \arg\min_{a \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbf{E}(X-a)^2,
```

$$\mathbf{E}[X|Y] = \arg\min_{g:\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{E}(X - g(Y))^2.$$

This is the projection of X onto the linear space S of measurable functions of Y.

Conditional Expectations as Projections

The projection theorem says: for all measurable g,

$$\mathbf{E}(X - \mathbf{E}[X|Y])g(Y) = 0.$$

Properties of $\mathbf{E}[X|Y]$:

- $\mathbf{E}X = \mathbf{E}\mathbf{E}[X|Y]$ (consider g = 1).
- For a joint density f(x, y),

$$\mathbf{E}[X|Y] = \int x \frac{f(x,Y)}{f(Y)} dx.$$

• For independent X, Y, $\mathbf{E}(X - \mathbf{E}X)g(Y) = 0$, so $\mathbf{E}[X|Y] = \mathbf{E}X$.

Conditional Expectations as Projections

Properties of $\mathbf{E}[X|Y]$:

• $\mathbf{E}[f(Y)X|Y] = f(Y)\mathbf{E}[X|Y].$

(Because

 $\mathbf{E}[f(Y)X - f(Y)\mathbf{E}[X|Y]g(Y) = \mathbf{E}[X - \mathbf{E}[X|Y]f(Y)g(Y) = 0.)$

•
$$\mathbf{E}[\mathbf{E}[X|Y,Z]|Y] = \mathbf{E}[X|Y].$$

(Because $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{E}[X|Y,Z] - \mathbf{E}[X|Y])g(Y) =$
 $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{E}[g(Y)X|Y,Z] - \mathbf{E}[g(Y)X|Y]) = 0.)$

Hájek Projection

Definition: For independent random vectors X_1, \ldots, X_n , the **Hájek projection** of a random variable is its projection onto the set of sums

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i(X_i)$$

of measurable functions satisfying $\mathbf{E}g_i(X_i)^2 < \infty$.

Hájek Projection

Theorem: [Hájek projection principle:] The Hájek projection of $T \in L_2(P)$ is

$$\hat{S} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{E}[T|X_i] - (n-1)\mathbf{E}T.$$

Hájek Projection Principle: Proof

From the projection theorem, we need to check that $T - \hat{S}$ is orthogonal to each $g_i(X_i)$. It suffices if $\mathbf{E}[T|X_i] = \mathbf{E}[\hat{S}|X_i]$:

$$\mathbf{E}\left(T-\hat{S}\right)g_i(X_i) = \mathbf{E}\left(\mathbf{E}\left[T-\hat{S}|X_i\right]g_i(X_i)\right)$$

But

$$\mathbf{E}[\hat{S}|X_i] = \mathbf{E}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbf{E}[T|X_j] - (n-1)\mathbf{E}T \middle| X_i\right]$$
$$= \mathbf{E}[T|X_i] + \sum_{j \neq i} \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{E}[T|X_j]|X_i] - (n-1)\mathbf{E}T$$
$$= \mathbf{E}[T|X_i],$$

because the X_i are independent, so $T - \hat{S}$ is orthogonal to S.

Asymptotic Normality of U-Statistics

Theorem: If $\mathbf{E}h^2 < \infty$, define \hat{U} as the Hájek projection of $U - \theta$. Then

$$\hat{U} = \frac{r}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_1(X_i), \quad \text{with}$$

$$h_1(x) = \mathbf{E}h(x, X_2, \dots, X_r) - \theta,$$

$$\sqrt{n}(U - \theta - \hat{U}) \xrightarrow{P} 0, \quad \text{hence,}$$

$$\sqrt{n}(U - \theta) \rightsquigarrow N(0, r^2\zeta_1), \quad \text{where}$$

$$\zeta_1 = \mathbf{E}h_1^2(X_1).$$

Asymptotic Normality of U-Statistics: Proof

Recall:

$$U = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{r}} \sum_{j \subseteq [n]} h(X_{j_1}, \dots, X_{j_r}).$$

By the Hájek projection principle, the projection of $U - \theta$ is

$$\hat{U} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{E}[U - \theta | X_i]$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\binom{n}{r}} \sum_{j \subseteq [n]} \mathbf{E}[h(X_{j_1}, \dots, X_{j_r}) - \theta | X_i]$$

But

$$\mathbf{E}[h(X_{j_1},\ldots,X_{j_r})-\theta|X_i] = \begin{cases} h_1(X_i) & \text{if } i \in j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Asymptotic Normality of U-Statistics: Proof

For each X_i , there are $\binom{n-1}{r-1}$ of the $\binom{n}{r}$ subsets that contain *i*. Thus,

$$\hat{U} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{r!(n-r)!(n-1)!}{n!(r-1)!(n-r)!} h_1(X_i) = \frac{r}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_1(X_i).$$

To see that \hat{U} has the same asymptotics as U, notice that $\mathbf{E}\hat{U} = 0$ and so its variance is asymptotically the same as that of U:

$$\operatorname{var} \hat{U} = \frac{r^2}{n} \mathbf{E} h_1^2(X_1) = \frac{r^2}{n} \mathbf{E} (\mathbf{E}[h(X_1^r)|X_1] - \theta)^2$$
$$= \frac{r^2}{n} \operatorname{Var} (\mathbf{E}[h(X_1^r)|X_1]) = \frac{r^2}{n} \zeta_1.$$

Asymptotic Normality of U-Statistics: Proof

CLT (and finiteness of $Var(\hat{U})$) implies $\sqrt{n}\hat{U} \rightsquigarrow N(0, r^2\zeta_1)$. Also [recall that $nVarU \rightarrow r^2\zeta_1$], $Var\hat{U}/VarU \rightarrow 1$, so

$$\frac{U-\theta}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(U)}} - \frac{\hat{U}}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(\hat{U})}} \xrightarrow{P} 0,$$

which implies $\sqrt{n}(U - \theta - \hat{U}) \xrightarrow{P} 0$, and hence

$$\sqrt{n}(U-\theta) \rightsquigarrow N(0, r^2\zeta_1).$$

Estimator of variance: $h(X_1, X_2) = (1/2)(X_1 - X_2)^2$:

$$\zeta_1 = \frac{1}{4}(\mu_4 - \sigma^4),$$

where $\mu_4 = \mathbf{E}((X_1 - \mu)^4))$ is the 4th central moment. So $n \operatorname{Var}(U) \to \mu_4 - \sigma^4$, hence $\sqrt{n}(U - \sigma^2) \rightsquigarrow N(0, \mu_4 - \sigma^4).$

Recall Kendall's τ : For a random pair $P_1 = (X_1, Y_1), P_2 = (X_2, Y_2)$ of points in the plane, if X, Y are *independent and continuous* [recall: P_1P_2 is the line from P_1 to P_2]

$$\begin{split} h(P_1,P_2) &= \left(1[P_1P_2 \text{ has positive slope}] - 1[P_1P_2 \text{ has negative slope}]\right),\\ \mathbf{E}\tau &= 0,\\ \zeta_1 &= \operatorname{Cov}(h(P_1,P_2),h(P_1,P_3))\\ &= \frac{1}{9}, \end{split}$$

Thus $\sqrt{n}U \rightsquigarrow N(0, 4/9)$. And this gives a test for independence of X and Y:

$$\Pr(\sqrt{9n/4}|\tau| > z_{\alpha/2}) \to \alpha.$$

Recall Wilcoxon's one sample rank statistic:

$$T^{+} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} R_{i} \mathbb{1}[X_{i} > 0]$$

= $\frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}} \sum_{i < j} h_{2}(X_{i}, X_{j}) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} h_{1}(X_{i}),$
 $h_{2}(X_{i}, X_{j}) = \binom{n}{2} \mathbb{1}[X_{i} + X_{j} > 0],$
 $h_{1}(X_{i}) = n\mathbb{1}[X_{i} > 0].$

where R_i is the rank (position when $|X_1|, \ldots, |X_n|$ are arranged in ascending order). It's used to test if the distribution is symmetric about zero.

It's a sum of U-statistics. The first sum dominates the asymptotics. So consider

$$U = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}} \sum_{i < j} \binom{n}{2} \mathbb{1}[X_i + X_j > 0].$$

The Hájek projection of $U - \theta$ is

$$\hat{U} = \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_1(X_i),$$

and

$$h_1(x) = \mathbf{E}h(x, X_2) - \mathbf{E}h(X_1, X_2)$$

= $\binom{n}{2} (P(x + X_2 > 0) - P(X_1 + X_2 > 0))$
= $-\binom{n}{2} (F(-x) - \mathbf{E}F(-X_1)).$

For F symmetric about 0, (F(x) = 1 - F(-x)), we have

$$\hat{U} = -\frac{2\binom{n}{2}}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(F(-X_i) - \mathbf{E}F(-X_i)\right)$$
$$= \frac{2\binom{n}{2}}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(F(X_i) - \mathbf{E}F(X_i)\right).$$

But $F(X_i)$ is always uniform on [0, 1], and so $\mathbf{E}F(X_i) = 1/2$ and $\operatorname{Var}F(X_i) = 1/12$. Thus,

$$\operatorname{Var}(\hat{U}) = \frac{4{\binom{n}{2}}^2}{n} \operatorname{Var}(F(X_i)) = \frac{n(n-1)^2}{12}.$$

Thus, for symmetric distributions,

$$n^{-3/2}\left(T^+ - \frac{\binom{n}{2}}{2}\right) \rightsquigarrow N(0, 1/12).$$

So we have a test for symmetry:

$$\Pr\left(\sqrt{12n^{-3/2}}\left|T^+ - \frac{\binom{n}{2}}{2}\right| > z_{\alpha/2}\right) \to \alpha.$$